

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIF		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,702	03/10/2000		Jiandong Huang	H16-26157 5911		
128	7590	05/17/2004		EXAMINER		
HONEYWI		ERNATIONAI	NGUYEN, CHAU T			
P O BOX 22		T.D.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MORRISTO	WN, NJ	07962-2245	2176			

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

M

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/522,702	HUANG ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chau Nguyen	2176
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to an places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
<ul><li>(a)   ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further</li></ul>	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		L. 11
BEST AVAILABLE	ECOPY SUPERVISOR	SEPH FEILD RY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues include several amendments to claims 1-4,10-11,13-14,19-20 and 22-23 that would necessitate further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: new issues raised in claims 1-4,10-11,13-14,19-2 and 22-23 would require further search and consideration. Also, examiner's maintained the final rejection over Law, Jr. et al. (Law), Paten No. 6,370,654 and further in view of Tosey et al. (Tosey), Patent NO. 6,392,990.

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**